SOLICITOR

TO:	Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
	P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK OFFICE TRADEMARK

In compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. D	District Court for the District of Ma	aryland on the following X Patents or \square Trademarks:
DOCKET NO. RDB-09-CV-1113	DATE FILED 4/30/09	U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
PLAINTIFF PFIZER INC., ET AL	100.02	DEFENDANT LUPIN LTD, ET AL
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 6,147,819		
2 6,001,876		
3 5,563,475		
4		
5		
		ent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY Amen	ndment Answer Cross Bill Cother Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
In the abo	ve-entitled case, the following dec	ision has been rendered or judgment issueds.
DECISION/JUDGMENT		
L		
CLERK	- (BY)	DATE DATE
Felicia C. Cannon		Time 1/1/19

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Pfizer and Northwestern pray for a judgment in their favor and against Defendants Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and respectfully request the following relief:

- A. A judgment declaring that Lupin has infringed U.S. Patent No. 6,197,819;
- B. A judgment declaring that Lupin has infringed U.S. Patent No. 6,001,876;
- C. A judgment declaring that Lupin has infringed U.S. Patent. No. 5,563,175.
- D. A judgment pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(B) preliminarily and permanently enjoining Lupin, its officers, agents, servants, and employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from manufacturing, using, offering to sell, or selling the Lupin Products within the United States, or importing the Lupin Products into the United States, prior to the expiration of the '819, '876 and '175 patents;
- E. A judgment ordering that pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A), the effective date of any approval of ANDA No. 91-040 under § 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. § 355(j)) shall not be earlier than the latest of the expiration dates of the '819, '876 and '175 patents, including any extensions;
- F. If Lupin commercially manufactures, uses, offers to sell, or sells the Lupin Products within the United States, or imports the Lupin Products into the United States, prior to the expiration of any of the '819, '876 and '175 patents, including any extensions, a judgment awarding Plaintiffs monetary relief together with interest;

- G. Attorneys' fees in this action as an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §
 - H. Costs and expenses in this action; and

285;

I. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

/s/ George E. Brown

Andrew Jay Graham (Bar No.: 00080) Geoffrey H. Genth (Bar No.: 08735) George E. Brown (Bar No.: 14681) Kramon & Graham, P.A. One South Street Suite 2600 Baltimore, Maryland 21202 agraham@kg-law.com ggenth@kg-law.com gbrown@kg-law.com (410) 752-6030 Telephone (410) 539-1269 Facsimile

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC,
and C.P. Pharmaceuticals International C.V.

/s/ William J. Murphy

William J. Murphy (Bar No.: 00497) Murphy & Shaffer LLC 36 S. Charles Street Suite 1400 Baltimore, Maryland 21201 wmurphy@murphyshaffer.com (410) 783-7000 Telephone

Attorneys for Plaintiff Northwestern University

OF COUNSEL:

Dimitrios T. Drivas, Esquire Jeffrey J. Oelke, Esquire Adam Gahtan, Esquire Brendan G. Woodard, Esquire White & Case LLP 1155 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 (212) 819-8200 Telephone

Kevin M. Flowers, Ph.D. Marshall, Gertstein & Borun LLP 233 South Wacker Drive 6300 Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357 (312) 474-6300 Telephone

EXHIBIT A

RELATED CASES

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, CP. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. and Northwestern University v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd., Civil Action No. 09-cv-00307 (D. Del.)

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, CP. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. v. Alphapharm Pty. Ltd. and Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., Civil Action No. 09-cv-00308 (D.Del.)

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, CP. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. and Northwestern University v. Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Civil Action No. 09-cv-00309 (D. Del.)

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, CP. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. and Northwestern University v. Sandoz Inc., Civil Action No. 09-cv-00310 (D. Del.)

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, CP. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. and Northwestern University v. Actavis Elizabeth LLC and Actavis, Inc., Civil Action No. 09-cv-00311(D. Del.)

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, CP. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. and Northwestern University v. Wockhardt Limited and Wockhardt USA, LLC, Civil Action No. 09-cv-00312 (D. Del.)

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, CP. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. and Northwestern University v. Sun Pharma Global Inc., Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. and Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc., Civil Action No. 09-cv-00313 (D. Del.)

Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, CP. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. and Northwestern University v. Cobalt Laboratories, Inc. and Cobalt Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Civil Action No. 09-cv-00315 (D. Del.)

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)		CIVIL C	OVE	R SHEET	1	lin acc	``	T	
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleading the large state of the large state									
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
fizer Inc., Warner-Lam			icals	Lupin Ltd. and		1	n =3		
(b) County of Residence				County of Residence	of First Listed	Defendant	N/A : -	<u>; </u>	
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	ASES)		NOTE: IN LAN	•	LAINTIFF CASES G ATION CASES, US		ION OF THE	
					INVOLVED.	A HOLLES	<u>.</u>	المرازية	1
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	Address and Telephone Num	her)		Attorneys (If Known)		[ט וּי	- 5)
George E. Brown, Esqu	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		uth	, , , ,		13 10	ري ۾		
Street, Suite 2600, Balti			i i			<u></u>	r	<u>: </u>	
II. BASIS OF JURISE	ICTION (Place an "X"	' in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		AL PARTIES(Place an ® in (and One Box f	One Box for I	Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question		1		PTF DEF			PTF I	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Governmen	t Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	01 01	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		0 4 (0 4
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	02 02	Incorporated and P		5 (1 5
Defendant		hip of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A	Another State		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	03 03	Foreign Nation		CT 6	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box	Only)							
CONTRACT		ORTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		eal 28 USC 158		R STATUTE Reapportionm	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury		10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 With	drawal	1 410 Antitru	ıst	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractic		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 U	SC 157	430 Banks 450 Comm	and Banking	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Injury Product Liability		30 Liquor Laws	PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	460 Deport	tation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Person		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.	□ 820 Copy 23 830 Pater			teer Influence et Organizatio	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability		50 Occupational	☐ 840 Trad		1 480 Consu	mer Credit	
Student Loans	340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER		Safety/Health			490 Cable/ 810 Selecti		
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	 345 Marine Product Liability 	 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 		90 Other	SOCIAL	SECURITY	850 Securi	ties/Commod	ities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal	07	10 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	Exchar		
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations		k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	2 875 Custon	ner Challenge C 3410	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	Product Liability		30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 \$511	Title XVI	☐ 890 Other	Statutory Act	ions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	PRISONER PETITIO	NE C 7	& Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI	(405(g)) AL TAX SUITS	891 Agricu	ıltural Acts mic Stabilizat	tion Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	G 441 Voting	510 Motions to Vaca		90 Other Labor Litigation		es (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Envir	onmental Mat	tters
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence	07	91 Empl. Ret. Inc.		Defendant)		y Allocation / om of Informa	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General		Security Act		—Third Party ISC 7609	☐ 895 Freedo	an of montes	ation .
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	35 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION				of Fee Deter	
290 All Other Real Property	 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 	- 0 540 Mandamus & O		62 Naturalization Applicati 63 Habeas Corpus -	on		to Just	Equal Access ice	s
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities		n]	Alien Detainee			950 Consti		ſ
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights		0 4	65 Other Immigration Actions			State S	itatutes	
	D 440 Ould Civil Algilis		1	70000	}				
	<u> </u>								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Sol 1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Removed from 5 Remanded from 5 Remanded from 5 Remanded from 6 Removed from 6 Remanded from 6 Remanded from 7 Removed f									
☑1 Original ☐ 2 R Proceeding S	temoved from 3	Remanded from (Appellate Court	Rec	nstated or 1 3 ano	ther district	Litigat.or	not D /	Magistrate Judgment	
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 35 U.S.C. Section 271 (6)(2)(A).									
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION 35 0.5.C. Section 271 (e)(2)(A). Brief description of cause:									
Patent intringment.									
VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND: ☐ Yes SONO					C.				
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Co.	7.1.		DOCK	ET NUMBER			

IF ANY

See instructions): JUDGE See Attached DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

PAR-130, 2009

FOROFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT ____ APPLYING IFP ____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

PFIZER INC. 235 East 42 nd Street New York, New York 10017	CLERTING P
WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY LLC 235 East 42 nd Street New York, New York 10017	
C.P. PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL C.V. 235 East 42 nd Street New York, New York 10017	RDB 09 CV 1 113
NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY 633 Clark Street Evanston, Illinois 60208))))
Plaintiffs,	
v.	Civil Action No.:
LUPIN LTD. Laxmi Towers, B Wing Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra (East) Mumbai, Maharashtra 400 051, India))))
and)
LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. Harborplace Tower 111 South Calvert Street, Suite 2150 Baltimore, Maryland 21202))))
SERVE ON: Resident Agent Vinita Gupta Harborplace Tower 111 South Calvert Street, Suite 2150 Baltimore, Maryland 21202))))
Defendants)

{GCB\STEPH\00554751.DOCv1 }

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Pfizer Inc., Warner-Lambert Company LLC, C.P. Pharmaceuticals
International C.V. (collectively, "Pfizer"), and Northwestern University ("Northwestern," and together with Pfizer, "Plaintiffs"), by their undersigned attorneys, for their Complaint against Defendants Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (collectively, "Lupin") herein allege:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, arising from Lupin's filing of an Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA") with the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") seeking approval to market a generic version of Pfizer's pharmaceutical product Lyrica® prior to the expiration of United States Patent Nos. 6,197,819 ("the '819 patent"), 6,001,876 ("the '876 patent") and 5,563,175 ("the '175 patent") which cover Lyrica® or its use.

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff Pfizer Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws
 of the State of Delaware, having a place of business at 235 East 42nd Street, New York,
 New York.
- 3. Plaintiff Warner-Lambert Company LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a place of business at 235 East 42nd Street, New York, New York. Pfizer Inc. is the ultimate parent of Warner-Lambert Company LLC.

- 4. Plaintiff C.P. Pharmaceuticals International C.V. is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the Netherlands, having a place of business at 235 East 42nd Street, New York, New York. Pfizer Inc. is the ultimate parent of C.P. Pharmaceuticals International C.V.
- Plaintiff Northwestern University is an Illinois corporation, having its principal place of business at 633 Clark Street, Evanston, Illinois.
- 6. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. is a company organized and existing under the laws of India, having a principal place of business at Laxmi Towers, B Wing, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai, Maharashta 400 051, India. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd., itself and through its wholly owned subsidiary and agent, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., is in the business of making and selling generic pharmaceutical products, which it distributes in the State of Maryland and throughout the United States. Lupin Ltd. has previously submitted to jurisdiction in this Court, and has availed itself of the jurisdiction of this Court by asserting counterclaims in lawsuits filed in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland.
- 7. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Virginia, having a principal place of business at 111 S. Calvert St., Ste. 2150, Baltimore, Maryland. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is an agent and wholly owned subsidiary of Lupin Ltd.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Lupin by virtue of, <u>inter alia</u>, its presence in Maryland, having conducted business in Maryland, having availed itself of the rights and benefits of Maryland law, previously consenting to personal jurisdiction in this Court, availing itself of the jurisdiction of this Court, and having engaged in systematic and continuous contacts with the State of Maryland.
 - 10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 11. On March 6, 2001, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued the '819 patent, entitled "Gamma Amino Butyric Acid Analogs and Optical Isomers." At the time of its issue, the '819 patent was assigned to Northwestern, and Northwestern currently holds title to the '819 patent. A copy of the '819 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- Northwestern has exclusively licensed the '819 patent to Warner-Lambert
 Company LLC.
- 13. On December 14, 1999, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued the '876 patent, entitled "Isobutyl GABA and Its Derivatives for the Treatment of Pain." At the time of its issue, the '876 patent was assigned to Warner-Lambert Company, which subsequently became Warner-Lambert Company LLC. Warner-

Lambert Company LLC currently holds title to the '876 patent. A copy of the '876 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

- 14. On October 8, 1996, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued the '175 patent, entitled "GABA and L-Glutamic Acid Analogs For Antiseizure Treatment." At the time of its issue, the '175 patent was assigned to Northwestern and Warner-Lambert Company. Warner-Lambert Company subsequently became Warner-Lambert Company LLC. Northwestern and Warner-Lambert Company LLC currently hold title to the '175 patent. A copy of the '175 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 15. Northwestern has exclusively licensed the '175 patent to Warner-Lambert Company LLC.

LYRICA®

- 16. Pfizer Inc., itself and through its wholly owned subsidiary C.P.

 Pharmaceuticals International C.V., holds approved New Drug Application Nos. 21-446,
 21-723 and 21-724 ("the Lyrica NDAs") for pregabalin capsules in 25, 50, 75, 100, 150,
 200, 225 and 300 mg dosage strengths, which are sold by Pfizer under the trade name

 Lyrica®.
- 17. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(b)(1), and attendant FDA regulations, the '819, '876 and '175 patents are listed in the FDA publication, "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations" (the "Orange Book"), with respect to Lyrica®.

LUPIN'S ANDA

- 18. On information and belief, Lupin submitted ANDA No. 91-040 ("the Lupin ANDA") to the FDA, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 355(j), seeking approval to market pregabalin capsules in 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 225 and 300 mg dosage strengths. The pregabalin capsules described in the Lupin ANDA are herein referred to as the "Lupin Products."
- 19. The Lupin ANDA refers to and relies upon the Lyrica NDAs and contains data that, according to Lupin, demonstrate the bioequivalence of the Lupin Products and Lyrica.
- 20. Pfizer and Northwestern received from Lupin a letter, dated March 23, 2009 (the "Lupin Notification"), stating that Lupin had included a certification in the Lupin ANDA, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV), that the '819, '876 and '175 patents are invalid, or will not be infringed by the commercial manufacture, use, or sale of the Lupin Products (the "Paragraph IV Certification").

COUNT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,197,819

- 21. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-20 of this Complaint.
- 22. Lupin has infringed the '819 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A), by submitting the Lupin ANDA, by which Lupin seeks approval from the FDA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale, or importation of the Lupin Products prior to the expiration of the '819 patent.

- 23. Lupin's commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale of the Lupin Products within the United States, or importation of the Lupin Products into the United States during the term of the '819 patent would further infringe the '819 patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and/or (c).
- 24. Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed if Lupin is not enjoined from infringing the '819 patent.
 - 25. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.
- 26. This case is an exceptional one, and Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,001,876

- 27. Pfizer realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs1-26 of this Complaint.
- 28. Lupin has infringed the '876 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A), by submitting the Lupin ANDA, by which Lupin seeks approval from the FDA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale, or importation of the Lupin Products prior to the expiration of the '876 patent.
- 29. Lupin's commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale of the Lupin Products within the United States, or importation of the Lupin Products into the United States during the term of the '876 patent would further infringe the '876 patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and/or (c).
- 30. Pfizer will be substantially and irreparably harmed if Lupin is not enjoined from infringing the '876 patent.

- 31. Pfizer has no adequate remedy at law.
- 32. This case is an exceptional one, and Pfizer is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,563,175

- 33. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-32 of this Complaint.
- 34. Lupin has infringed the '175 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A), by submitting the Lupin ANDA, by which Lupin seeks approval from the FDA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale, or importation of the Lupin Products prior to the expiration of the '175 patent.
- 35. Lupin's commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale of the Lupin Products within the United States, or importation of the Lupin Products into the United States during the term of the '175 patent would further infringe the '175 patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and/or (c).
- 36. Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed if Lupin is not enjoined from infringing the '175 patent.
 - 37. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.
- 38. This case is an exceptional one, and Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285.